

PARISH 2031 Neighbourhood Plan 2011-31 Public consultation May 2013 SUMMARY OF RESPONSES

Ref	Respondent	Background +General	Countryside	Amenities	Housing	Economy	Transport
1	DCLG	Unofficial guidance Need to demonstrate deliverability More aspirational ?	Do C1 and C2 add anything ? C4: not a planning issue	Fairfield Pavilion – is it deliverable ?	Stronger link between projected population and targets		Most policies are not planning issues.
2	Environment Agency	Pleased to note that proposed allocations are in lowest probability of flooding; Flood Zone 1.			Support policy H2.		
3	West Sussex County council	Not a formal or detailed response. Looks for conformity, including West Sussex Local Waste Plan.					Check with wider transport policies for the County.
4	Lank	Challenge wording of Referendum question.	'The Wilderness' should be added to 3.6.		Why has housing target increased from earlier proposals? H4: Little Park - Suggest second access from Chalkers Lane.		
5	Thomas	Thanks for efforts	Local Gaps of great importance. Prevent coalescence. P3.3 - New Way Lane should be included. Expand Conservation Areas; add St Georges Chapel.	Concerned about impact on sustainability objectives of Formal Sports facility at Goddards Green.	Oppose site HP01 – Highfield Drive.	Concerned about impact on sustainability objectives of Business park facility at Goddards Green.	
6	Kehoe		P3.3 - New Way Lane should be included.				
7	The coal Authority	No comment; not necessary to be included in future consultation.					
8	Jemmett K				Maximum target should be 180; within sites proposed in the Plan. Adequate drainage required before building. House-building should be evenly spaced thru Plan period.	Retail facility required in north Hurstpierpoint	Road safety and parking issues to be addressed.
9	Jemmett M	'Village feel' should be 'actual village' Investment required in	Green field sites kept to minimum.	Insufficient primary and secondary school capacity. Concern about pressure on	Why the increase in housing targets from previous figures ?		High St and Cuckfield Road not built for heavy usage.

HURSTPIERPOINT & SAYERS COMMON PARISH COUNCIL

		north Hurst area.		Medical Centre.	Adequate sewerage is required. Maximum target 200. House-building should be evenly spaced thru Plan period.		Other areas with traffic problems. Parking issues to be addressed
10	Collins	'Village feel' should be 'actual village' investment required in north Hurst area.		Insufficient primary and secondary school capacity. Concern about pressure on Medical Centre.	Why the increase in housing targets from previous figures? Sewerage should be in place before house-building. House-building should be evenly spaced thru Plan period.		High St and Cuckfield Road not built for heavy usage. Road safety and parking issues to be addressed.
11	WSCC Broadband					Applauds Plan for acknowledging importance of Superfast Broadband.	
12	Rodway/Woodcock			Kingsland Laines, Sayers Common is suitable site for care home. Public and economic benefits associated with housing development at the site.	Kingsland Laines, Sayers Common is suitable site for 120 houses.		
13	Mid Sussex District Council	Positive approach to Neighbourhood plan process. Reference should be made to the role of the NPPF.	Local Gaps require robust evidence. Policy C3 has limited value. Review of conservation Areas requires cooperation with the Local Planning Authority.			Welcome support for Burgess Hill Northern Arc project in draft District Plan. Allocation of sites within the geographical area should put formal sport to north of A2300 and business park to south, but within the area defined in the Neighbourhood Plan. NP should be amended.	
14	Gann	Plan is coherent .	Support local gap preventing coalescence with Hassocks.				More detail required on traffic and parking.
15	Barton Wilmore/Glenbeigh					Support for policy 6.2 business park at Goddards Green.	
16	Boyer/Thakeham		Policy C3 protection of settlement pattern does not conform to Local Plan policy DP9.		Housing targets are incorrect; revised target for the Parish should be at least 700. Phasing of housebuilding is a matter for planning applications, not for the Plan. Policy H4 - Little Park Farm		

					is unsuitable for housing development. Policy H5 - Land north of Highfield Drive is unsuitable for housing development. Policy H6 Chalkers Lane the Plan should ensure its deliverability or remove it.		
17	Hudson	Support Plan but Landscape Character Assessment Report is incorrect. Area 16 should be removed and Area 15 reappraised.					
18	Protect hurst		3.5 –Hurstpierpoint College – will protection apply to hard play surface areas ?	Policy A1 – Hurst Meadows should also be linked to Policy H5 – Highfield Drive. WSCC streetlighting in College Lane should be replaced.	Policy H6 – Chalkers Lane – contrary to protection of open views.		Traffic in Collage Lane from Hurst College – should be addressed; parking restrictions would be a concern. 20mph restriction proposed in narrow section of College Lane.
19	WAG	Unhappy about inclusion of draft Landscape Report. Areas 15 and 16 have the wrong designation.	Para 4.4 – need for College Lane recreation space is removed if Hurst Meadows proceeds.				
20	Birtles	Generally excellent work. Landscape Character Assessment Report is incorrect. Area 16 should be removed and Area 15 reappraised	Support policy C1 and C3. Support review of Conservation Areas. Support Hurstpierpoint College setting protection. Disappointed that College Recreation space is still mentioned.		Support housing targets.		High Street pedestrian safety is an absolute priority. College Lane traffic needs to be slowed and parking controlled.
21	Harries	Object to inclusion of Landscape Character Assessment Report. Disagree with assessment of area 15.			Oppose Highfield Drive housing site.		
22	Holmes			Insufficient primary and secondary school capacity. Childrens clubs and play areas will need to be increased to cope with increased population. Concern about pressure on Medical Centre.	Why has housing target increased from earlier proposals? Maximum target should be 200. Further developments will worsen drainage problems in Marchants Road. Sewerage should be in place before development. Housebuilding should be spread over the period of the		High St and Cuckfield Road traffic capacity problems. Road safety and parking issues to be addressed.

HURSTPIERPOINT & SAYERS COMMON PARISH COUNCIL

					Plan.		
23	Barker			Insufficient primary and secondary school capacity. Childrens clubs and play areas will need to be increased to cope with increased population. Concern about pressure on Medical Centre.	Why has housing target increased from earlier proposals? Maximum target should be 200. Further developments will worsen drainage problems in Marchants Road. Sewerage should be in place before development. Housebuilding should be spread over the period of the Plan.		High St and Cuckfield Road traffic capacity problems. Road safety and parking issues to be addressed.
24	Rydon	Acknowledge engagement with Parish Council thru N'hood Plan process.	Policy C3 – Settlement identity – support. Policy C7 - Woodland – support.	Hurst Meadows- confirm provided thru Housing allocations at policies H4 and H5. Link with H5 should be included.	Housing targets should note NPPF. Concerned that the draft District Plan targets will not be found sound; the N'hood plan should therefore have a degree of flexibility to meet a higher number than 230-255. Current application for sites at Highfield Drive and little Park contribute towards the stated targets.		
25	Needham				Iden Hurst is not a satisfactory access point for development.		Car park 5 minutes walk from High Steet will not be used sufficiently. (Policy T7) Parking problems at Health Centre. High Street Improvements will cause extreme congestion.
26	Henson			Object to any recreational facility in College Lane (para 4.4)	Object to development at College Lane.		
27	Williams				Concern that N'hood plan relies heavily on Policies H4 and H5 (Little Park and Highfield Drive) to meet housing targets.		
28	South Downs National Park	Clear and concise document; positive framework.	Settlement boundaries should be altered to reflect proposed site allocations. SDNPA document 'State of the National Park Report' should be noted		Not clear why 7 units have been used as a threshold for small sites.	Policy E5 – suggested rewording: "Development will be permitted for facilities connected with tourism, such as small craft	Policy T7 – encourage identification of a site.

HURSTPIERPOINT & SAYERS COMMON PARISH COUNCIL

			as a background document. Not sure that Parish is a principal 'gateway' in to the Park, given the number of different routes.			workshops or accommodation, subject to this being consistent with the Park's statutory Purposes and there being an acceptable traffic impact.'	
29	Natural England	Generally welcome the Plan; joint guidance on Plan drafting has been produced. Comments on Sustainability Appraisal: (10 comments – refer to formal response)	Consider impacts on protected species. Consider opportunities for enhancing natural environment.				
30	Broadway Malyan/Gleeson	Interests in the Burgess Hill Northern Arc, including within the N'hood plan area. Concern at prescriptive land use allocations are premature, pending clarification of strategic policies in the District Plan, yet to be adopted. The Plan should refer to the MSDC Submission District Plan May 2013.		Land use allocation for formal sports at Goddards Green is premature pending clarification of strategic policies in the District Plan, yet to be adopted..	Broad support for Policy H1, but should be modified to clarify that it excludes any residential development that might come forward as part of the Burgess Hill Northern Arc proposals, that fall within the N'hood plan area.	Land use allocation for business park at Goddards Green is premature pending clarification of strategic policies in the District Plan, yet to be adopted.. Object to the Goddards Green designations Northern Arc area should not be shown outside the N'hood plan area.	
31							